

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Institute of Theoretical Computer Science Bernd Gärtner, Rasmus Kyng, Angelika Steger, David Steurer

# Algorithms, Probability, and Computing

**Exercises KW43** 

**HS24** 

# General rules for solving exercises

• When handing in your solutions, please write your exercise group on the front sheet:

**Group A:** Wed 14-16 CAB G 56

Group B: Wed 14-16 CAB G 57

**Group C**: Wed 16–18 CAB G 56

**Group D:** Wed 16-18 CAB G 57

• This is a theory course, which means: if an exercise does not explicitly say "you do not need to prove your answer", then a formal proof is always required.

The following exercises will be discussed in the exercise class on October 23, 2024. These are "in-class" exercises, which means that we do not expect you to solve them before the exercise session. Instead, your teaching assistant will solve them with you in class.

### Exercise 1

We are given a set P of n points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and a point q which has distinct distances to all points in P. We add the points of P in random order (starting with the empty set), and observe the nearest neighbor of q in the set of points inserted so far. What is the expected number of distinct nearest neighbors that appear during the process?

### Exercise 2


Show that every linear program can also be converted into the following equational form:

maximize 
$$c^Tx$$
 subject to  $Ax = b$ ,  $x > 0$ .

What is the maximum increase in the number of variables and in the number of constraints in such a transformation?

## Exercise 3

Suppose we are given a set S of n closed halfspaces in the plane. For each  $H \in S$ , let  $\ell_H \subset H$  denote its boundary line. We assume that the halfspaces are in general position such that no two boundary lines are parallel and no three boundary lines meet in a single point. Consider the input to be given in the form of linear inequalities, say.



In this task we are interested in a randomized algorithm to decide whether the intersection of the given halfspaces is non-empty, that is whether  $R(\mathcal{S}) = \emptyset$  for  $R(\mathcal{S}) := \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{S}} H$ , or not. If  $\mathcal{S}$  has a non-empty intersection, we would also be interested in a *certificate point*, that is in a point  $x \in \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{S}} H$  to demonstrate non-emptiness. To make your calculations simpler, we want to make certificate points unique. To this end, we assume  $|\mathcal{S}| \geq 2$  and fix, arbitrarily, two halfspaces  $H_1, H_2, \in \mathcal{S}$ . The region  $R(\mathcal{S})$  is obviously contained in a wedge formed by the lines  $\ell_{H_1}$  and  $\ell_{H_2}$  (see figure). Before starting any algorithm, you may assume that the input is rotated first in such a way that this wedge opens to the right and the intersection point  $g \in \ell_{H_1} \cap \ell_{H_2}$  acts as a guard that no point in  $R(\mathcal{S})$  can have a smaller x-coordinate than g (see figure). We then define for any  $\mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  with  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{S}'$  the unique certificate point  $c(\mathcal{S}')$  as the point in  $R(\mathcal{S}')$  that has the smallest x-coordinate. You may assume that  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are fixed before and known to all your algorithms below.

#### Following are your tasks:

- (a) Let  $|\mathcal{S}| \geq 3$  (with  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  as described above) and let  $H \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{H_1, H_2\}$  be an arbitrary one of the halfspaces. Prove: if  $R(\mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$ , then either  $c(\mathcal{S}) = c(\mathcal{S} \setminus \{H\})$  or  $c(\mathcal{S}) \in \ell_H$ .
- (b) Let  $|\mathcal{S}| \geq 3$  (with  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  as described above) and let  $H \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{H_1, H_2\}$  be an arbitrary one of the halfspaces. Assume that  $R(\mathcal{S} \setminus \{H\}) \neq \emptyset$ . Write down a deterministic algorithm that runs in time linear in  $n = |\mathcal{S}|$  and that on input  $(\mathcal{S}, H, c(\mathcal{S} \setminus \{H\}))$  determines whether  $R(\mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$  and if so outputs  $c(\mathcal{S})$ .
- (c) Let again  $|S| \geq 3$  (with  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  as described above). Using (b), write down a randomized algorithm which, given S, determines whether  $R(S) \neq \emptyset$  and if so outputs c(S). Your algorithm should run in expected time linear in n = |S|.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>this rotation can always be done such that we also do not have vertical or horizontal lines, which you may assume